From:
To: M42 Junction

Subject: Fwd: WRITTEN REPRESENTATION RE: TR010027: M42 Junction 6 Improvement

**Date:** 03 June 2019 07:34:50

Further to my attached email of 28/5/19 I informed you that my property is located on a heritage site. Apologies I believe this may be incorrect and it may be located on a conservation site.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heath Cotterill

**Date:** 28 May 2019 at 21:32:51 BST

To: M42junction6@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Subject: WRITTEN REPRESENTATION RE: TR010027: M42 Junction

**6 Improvement** 

Interested Party: Heath Cotterill

Ref: 42J6-S57005

Further to my attendance and participation at the Open Floor Hearing on 21st May 2019 I would like to request an Accompanied Site Visit to attend and view my property

I would also like to request to attend a subsequent meeting or open floor hearing to discuss mitigating measures for myself and family regarding the proposed construction of the new dual carriageway adjacent/parallel to my property and the siting of the proposed compound where I would like to discuss:

- \* The negative effects on and her education during her formative years that the noise will cause. The fact that the compound may back directly on to my garden and her current unobstructed view will potentially be replaced with JCBs, diggers and workmen who likewise will be able to see directly into her bedroom and our home
- \* How St Peters Church can be deemed to be impacted by the proposed works but my property is not when it is situated directly between the church and the proposed dual carriageway and site compound
- \* My property is located on the heritage site and by its very nature may be vulnerable to the effects of the anticipated noise and vibration
- \* The detrimental effects that myself and family will experience due to the deterioration in air quality, especially during the construction phases
- \* The detrimental effects caused to mine and my family's health both physically and mentally
- \* The cultural effects the construction of the dual carriageway will have on the

Heritage Site (on which my property is situated)

- \* The environmental impact and change to mine and my family's living conditions and that of the landscape and erosion of green belt land
- \* Once the northern junction of St Peters Lane is stopped off all traffic through the village will have to enter and leave it via the southern junction to the lane. What alternative route is planned as in my opinion parts of the lane are not wide enough to accommodate the width of two passing cars
- \* The driver stress caused by the extra daily distances travelled and time taken for each commute to and from work (and in fact every journey made to the north of the village)
- \* I understand that First Castle Developments (on behalf of the airport) are seeking compensation for it's properties located on Clock Lane. I would like to know on what basis compensation is considered/measured
- \* What actions we may take if our home becomes unsellable if the disruption experienced is excessive
- \* In my opinion these factors are likely to have significant cumulative/combined effects to myself and my family what assurances can I receive that any mitigation measures put in place will be adhered to, especially regarding the size and location of the site compound for which I would like to receive a detailed location plan showing it's boundaries and parameters

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation